This full text version, available on TeesRep, is the PDF (final version) of:

Craig, O. E. et. al. (2005) 'Did the first farmers of central and eastern Europe produce dairy foods?', Antiquity, 79 (306), pp.882–894.

For details regarding the final published version please click on the following link: http://antiquity.ac.uk/ant/079/ant0790882.htm

When citing this source, please use the final published version as above.

This document was downloaded from http://tees.openrepository.com/tees/handle/10149/136330
Please do not use this version for citation purposes.

All items in TeesRep are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Did the first farmers of central and eastern Europe produce dairy foods?

Oliver E. Craig¹, John Chapman², Carl Heron³, Laura H. Willis³, László Bartosiewicz⁴, Gillian Taylor⁵, Alasdair Whittle⁶ & Matthew Collins⁷

Although the origins of domestic animals have been well-documented, it is unclear when livestock were first exploited for secondary products, such as milk. The analysis of remnant fats preserved in ceramic vessels from two agricultural sites in central and eastern Europe dating to the Early Neolithic (5900-5500 cal BC) are best explained by the presence of milk residues. On this basis, the authors suggest that dairying featured in early European farming economies. The evidence is evaluated in the light of analysis of faunal remains from this region to determine the scale of dairying. It is suggested that dairying — perhaps of sheep or goats — was initially practised on a small scale and was part of a broad mixed economy.
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Introduction

In human dietary evolution, the inception of nutritious and storable dairy foods was a significant adaptation. Whether as part of a pastoral or a broader integrated economy, dairying is also economically advantageous, as it provides an extremely efficient means of exploiting ruminant animals (Holmes 1970; Legge 1981). However, the origins of this practice are unclear. One theory is that dairying developed as part of a set of inter-connected innovations, also comprising additional ‘secondary products’ such as the use of animal traction for ploughing and for the cart, and the production of woollen garments. In this scenario, these innovations are thought to have transformed the economic basis of the Near East in the fourth millennium BC and Europe in the third millennium BC (Sherratt 1981, 1983, 1997: 199-228). Whilst various forms of artefactual evidence do lend support to this hypothesis (Sherratt 1981), critiques of the ‘secondary products revolution’ have ranged

¹ Ancient Biomolecules Group, Drummond Building, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, NE4 5PD, UK. Current address for correspondence: Centro di antropologia molecolare per lo studio del DNA antico, Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata” 00133 Roma, Italy (Email: oliver.craig@uniroma2.it)
² Department of Archaeology, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK
³ Department of Archaeological Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford BD7 1DP, UK
⁴ Institute of Archaeological Sciences, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
⁵ Ancient Biomolecules Group, Drummond Building, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, NE4 5PD, UK
⁶ School of History and Archaeology, Cardiff University, Humanities Building, Colum Drive, Cardiff, CF10 3EU, UK
⁷ BioArch, Departments of Biology & Archaeology, University of York, BOX 373, York, YO10 5YW, UK

Received: 23 July 2004; Accepted: 28 February 2005; Revised: 29 March 2005

ANTiquity 79 (2005): 882–894

882
from disputes over chronology (Chapman 1982; Bogucki 1984a), taphonomy (Chapman 1982), subsistence practices (Whittle 1985: 209-10) and ideology (Hodder 1990).

An alternative theory, and one favoured by many critics, is that dairying was an integral part of mixed agro-pastoral practices from a far earlier period. In this scenario dairying is related to the spread of exotic domestic animal species, sheep and goat, from the Near East into Europe during the seventh millennium cal BC and possibly combined with the keeping of locally domesticated cattle (Bökényi 1974: 28). In the absence of clear artefactual evidence, demonstrating an early origin for dairying is difficult and is further complicated by problems of interpreting fragmented faunal assemblages (Halstead 1998). It has long been recognised that molecular and isotopic analysis of remnant organic matter trapped within the fabric of pottery sherds has the potential to transform dietary and economic investigations of the past (Hodder 1990: 204; Sherratt 1997: 13). Although claims for the detection of milk in pottery have been made since the early 1930s (e.g. Grüss 1933), the specificity of the compounds identified in these early studies is questionable. More recently, compound-specific stable carbon isotopic measurements of mid-chain fatty acids have been used to reliably identify degraded dairy lipids (Dudd & Evershed 1998). Using this method, dairy products have been identified in ceramics dating from the Early Neolithic to Iron Age in the UK (Dudd et al. 1999; Copley et al. 2003), giving support to an early origin of dairying and opening up the possibility of tracing dairy products to some of the earliest European ceramic assemblages. Here, we aim to test the hypothesis that dairying was practised by some of Europe’s earliest farming groups by examining a range of pottery vessels from sites dating to the Early Neolithic of central and eastern Europe (5900-5500 cal BC).

Samples

Early Neolithic ceramics were obtained from two settlement sites:

1. Schela Cladovei, located on the left bank of the Danube (the Romanian side), downstream of the Iron Gates gorge and occupied during the Mesolithic and Neolithic from 7500 cal BC to 5300 cal BC, with a break in occupation between 6300 and 5950 cal BC (Boroneanţ et al. 1999; Bonsall et al. 2002). The pottery sampled in this study dates to the Early Neolithic (a late phase of the Starčevo-Criş culture) between 5950 and 5500 cal BC.

2. Ecsegfalva 23, a small Körös culture site in the centre of the Great Hungarian Plain, occupied, most likely permanently, between 5800 and 5700 cal BC (Whittle forthcoming; Whittle 2000; Bronk Ramsey et al. forthcoming). A range of open and closed bowls and necked jars, typical of the Körös culture were sampled (full details in Oross forthcoming).

Both of these sites lie in riverine environments in the Danube basin (Figure 1); the former is located on a river terrace of the Danube, backed by fertile soils, while the latter lies in an area rich in fertile loess soils and where some of the earliest farming communities were established in Europe. Significantly, the Neolithic cultures that developed in this region and further to the south-east, during the seventh and first half of the sixth millennia BC, are thought to have influenced the development of agriculture and pastoralism in other parts of
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Figure 1. Map showing approximate location of sites discussed in the text. (a) Schela Cladovei; (b) Ecsegfalva. Map courtesy of Zentai László, 1996.

Figure 2. Ungulates exploited for meat at major early Neolithic sites in central and eastern Europe. The upper four sites are located in the Great Hungarian Plain (Bartosiewicz forthcoming; Bökényi 1974, 1981, 1992a). Divostin (Bökényi 1988) in central Serbia and Schela Cladovei (Bartosiewicz et al. 2001) and Mihajlovac (Bökényi 1992b) in the Iron Gates Gorge. NISP — number of identifiable specimens.

central Europe, as well as north-west Europe during the following two millennia, either by the dispersal of farming populations (Bogucki 1996) or through the adoption of farming by indigenous foragers (Whittle 1996). The faunal assemblages at each site are dominated by domesticated sheep and goat and to a lesser extent cattle (Figure 2). Notably at the earlier sites, especially Schela Cladovei, wild animals were also exploited for meat, indicating a continuation of earlier subsistence practices. From these sites, lipids were extracted from 49 typical Early Neolithic ceramic vessels including bowls, dishes, amphorae and jars (8 from
Schela Cladovei; 41 from Ecsegfalva). These were analysed by gas chromatography (GC), gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GCMS) and gas chromatography combustion isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS) using standard procedures (see note).

**Methodological rationale**

Fresh or exceptionally well preserved dairy fats can be easily identified by the presence of diagnostic short-chain fatty acids and broad distributions of triacylglycerols (with 28-54 acyl carbon atoms) using GCMS. However, during exposure to the burial environment, the lower molecular mass diagnostic compounds are either lost completely or else their distribution is significantly altered. Laboratory experiments have shown that dairy fats degrade so as to more closely resemble adipose fats (Dudd & Evershed 1998). This has been overcome by determining differences in the stable carbon isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}C$ values) of the major saturated fatty acids (with carbon chain lengths of 16 [C16:0; palmitic acid] and of 18 [C18:0; stearic acid]) using GC-C-IRMS (Dudd & Evershed 1998; Dudd et al. 1999). Due to differences in the way that lipids are biosynthesised and routed to different tissues (Moore & Christie 1981), it is possible to distinguish ruminant dairy fats, ruminant adipose fats and non-ruminant adipose fats using these criteria (Copley et al. 2003; Figure 3a). The absolute carbon isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}C$ values) of C16 and C18 fatty acids in milk are a function of the animal’s diet (see Figure 3a), but in all cases the $\delta^{13}C$ value of the C18:0 fatty acid is between 3.3-7.0‰ lighter than the C16:0 component thus providing a criterion for discriminating dairy products (Copley et al. 2003; Figures 3a, b); this difference is commonly expressed as $\Delta^{13}C$, where $\Delta^{13}C = (\delta^{13}C_{18:0}) - (\delta^{13}C_{16:0})$.

**Results**

Sherds yielding lipid residues are summarised in Table 1. Five sherds of the eight analysed from Schela Cladovei contained measurable amounts of absorbed lipid. The lipid yields were low (<0.2 mg g$^{-1}$); intact acyl lipids and significant quantities of unsaturated fatty acids were only observed in one sample (Table 1). However, GC-C-IRMS analysis indicates that these lipids derive from a diverse number of sources (Figures 3a, b). Extracts from two sherds have $\Delta^{13}C$ values consistent with the reference ruminant milk fat values reported here (Figure 3b) and those previously published (Copley et al. 2003). Of the remaining three sherds, the $\Delta^{13}C$ of two indicate the presence of non-ruminant fats ($\Delta^{13}C$ between −1 and 2‰) possibly from the exploitation of pigs or freshwater fish from the Danube, whilst the third is consistent with values obtained from ruminant adipose fat ($\Delta^{13}C$ between −3.3 and −1‰; Copley et al. 2003).

Seven out of forty-one samples from Ecsegfalva contained detectable amounts of lipids. With the exception of one sherd (ec-9664, $\sim1.37$ mg·g$^{-1}$) the quantities of lipid were low (mean $\sim0.18$ mg·g$^{-1}$). Nevertheless, the amount of lipid absorbed in interior sherd surfaces was much greater than in the exterior samples indicating that the lipid is associated with vessel use. The triacylglycerol distribution (Figure 3b), as well as high abundances of C18:0, indicate the presence of degraded animal fats (Enser 1991). In addition, saturated fatty acids with branched and odd-number carbon chains (e.g. C17:0; C17:0 Br) were detected in all
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Figure 3. (a) Plot of the $\delta^{13}C$ values of C18:0 and C16:0 fatty acids extracted from various Early Neolithic potsherds control samples and reference milk fats. The later were obtained by solvent extraction from: (a) a modern milk pot from north-western India; (b) sheep’s milk from northern England, animals fed supplementary C4 fodders; (c) cow’s milk from northern England, animals fed c. 60 per cent maize silage; (d) goat’s milk from northern England, animals fed supplementary C4 fodders; (e) boiled cow’s milk from northern England, animals fed supplementary C4 fodders; (f) cow’s milk from southern India, animals fed c. 65 per cent rice bran and 35 per cent sorghum; (g) cow’s milk from northern England, animals grazed on purely C3 pasture (same herd as c); (h) cow’s milk from the Shetland Islands, animals grazed on purely C3 pasture. Despite the variation in these animals’ diet and geographical location, the $\Delta^{13}C$ values are always less than $-3.3$‰ (indicated by lower dashed line). Mean and standard deviations (1σ) are shown for each of the pottery extracts which was calculated by assessing machine precision and overall accuracy from analysis of multiple extracts. These data are further compared with ratios for mammalian reference fats from Dudd et al. (1999: Figure 3) and oils from marine fish ($n = 4$), the range and mean values are shown. All the modern samples have been corrected for the effects of fossil fuel burning (Fredli et al. 1986). Open circles – Schela Cladovei, solid circles – Ecsegfalva.
of the sherds, except ec-14839. These lipids are characteristic of fats from ruminant animals and this was confirmed by GC-C-IRMS analysis. In each case the $\Delta^{13}C$ values indicate that ruminant milk fats, ruminant adipose fats or a mixture of these products were processed in these vessels (Figure 3b).

The presence of intact triacylglycerols in several of these sherds (Figure 3b) is remarkable considering the length of exposure in the burial environment (c. 7.5 ka). Whilst it is likely that only components with the highest molecular mass from the original suite of triacylglycerols remain, the presence of components with less than 48 carbon atoms (Figure 3) identified in three of the sherds is further indication that these absorbed residues derive from ruminants. Triacylglycerols with less than 48 carbon atoms are at very low abundance in non-ruminant fats (Enser 1991). Three of the Ecsegfalva sherds also contained a range of unbranched mid-chain ketones (Table 1). These are formed by heat-induced condensation of free fatty acids within the vessel wall (Evershed et al. 1995; Raven et al. 1997). No correlation was observed between sherds containing ketones and exterior sooting.

Whilst we are confident that we have identified dairy products on these sherds, several points need clarification:

1. We report the maximum uncertainties in the isotope ratios for each of the pottery extracts in Figure 3a (error bars). These take into account uncertainties associated with both instrument precision and, where available (see Table 1), analyses of second extracts. At the 95 per cent confidence interval, the variance of $\Delta^{13}C$ values obtained by repeated measurements of individual samples identified as containing dairy products, plot within the range of our reference milk fats (mean $\sim -4.7‰$ (standard deviation $[1\sigma] \sim 1.3‰$)) and those previously reported by Dudd et al. (1999). They do not plot within the range produced by analysis of other ruminant tissues (with the possible exception of deer fats, see below).

2. Whilst sherds from both sites containing milk fat have $\Delta^{13}C$ values consistent with the reference ruminant milk fat values, reported by Dudd et al. (1999), the absolute $\delta^{13}C$ values for both fatty acids are enriched by c. 2‰ (Figure 3a). We demonstrate (Figure 3a) that dietary supplements have a dramatic effect on dairy fatty acid $\delta^{13}C$ values, although crucially do not alter the $\Delta^{13}C$ value (Figure 3b). As the previously published modern reference samples were obtained from animals raised on C3 pasture from southern England, it is plausible that variation in geographical location and/or diet may explain this discrepancy. Our hypothesis is supported by stable carbon isotope ratios from bone collagen ($\delta^{13}C_{coll}$) which provides an independent measurement of animal diet. Stable carbon isotope ratios of Neolithic ruminants (21 sheep/goat; 3 cattle) from
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Table 1. Summary of results on sherds containing detectable amounts of lipid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Vessel/Context</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Lipids Detected</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C_{16:0}$ (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C_{18:0}$ (%)</th>
<th>$\Delta^{13}C$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schela Cladovei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-001</td>
<td>2377</td>
<td>Rim fragment from decorated necked amphora</td>
<td>FA, MAG, DAG</td>
<td>−28.2</td>
<td>−30.1</td>
<td>−1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-002</td>
<td>4268</td>
<td>Body fragment of black on red painted ware, probably a rounded bowl</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>−26.2</td>
<td>−30.5</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-003</td>
<td>4372</td>
<td>Body fragment from decorated vessel, probably an amphora</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>−27.7</td>
<td>−27.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-005</td>
<td>2508</td>
<td>Body fragment, form unknown</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>−24.8</td>
<td>−25.7</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc-006</td>
<td>A3/U3/F4</td>
<td>Body fragment from amphora Base of above</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>−25.3</td>
<td>−29.3</td>
<td>−4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecsegfalva</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec-14456</td>
<td>23B</td>
<td>Thin walled, plain rim sherd Exterior of above</td>
<td>FA, KT, TAG</td>
<td>−26.5</td>
<td>−30.4</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec-14457</td>
<td>23B</td>
<td>Thin walled body fragment Relief pattern Exterior of above</td>
<td>FA, KT, TAG</td>
<td>−26.9</td>
<td>−31.1</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec-14839</td>
<td>23B</td>
<td>Medium walled plain rim fragment with a smooth finish Exterior of above</td>
<td>FA, TAG</td>
<td>−26.3</td>
<td>−27.8</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec-9664</td>
<td>23C</td>
<td>Thick walled, body fragment Incised pattern on outer surface Exterior of above</td>
<td>FA, KT, TAG</td>
<td>−26.8</td>
<td>−28.9</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec-5094</td>
<td>23B</td>
<td>Thick walled body fragment Exterior of above</td>
<td>FA, TAG</td>
<td>−26.8</td>
<td>−31.8</td>
<td>−5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec-4374</td>
<td>23B</td>
<td>Medium walled base fragment</td>
<td>FA, TAG(tr)</td>
<td>−26.1</td>
<td>−30.2</td>
<td>−4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec-14679</td>
<td>23B</td>
<td>Medium walled base fragment</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>−26.3</td>
<td>−30.5</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lipids were extracted with chloroform/methanol (2:1) and derivatised with N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl) tetrafluoroacetamide containing 1% (v/v) trimethylchlorosilane. The resulting trimethylsilyl derivatives were analysed on a Hewlett Packard 5890 gas chromatograph with a 15m × 0.32mm, bonded-phase fused-silica capillary column coated with DB-1HT stationary phase connected to a Hewlett Packard 5972 mass spectrometer. Temperature programming was from 50°C-220°C at 10°C min⁻¹; 220°C-340°C at 1°C min⁻¹.

Electron impact spectra were obtained with full scan from 50-700 m/z. n/d = none detected. FA = fatty acids, DAG = diacylglycerols, TAG = triacylglycerols, KT = ketones, tr = trace.

∗ indicates that sherds were re-extracted.

Soil samples (not shown) either produced no lipid or very small amounts of degraded plant lipid; there was no evidence for migration of soil lipid into the sherds.

Serbia and Hungary have a mean $\delta^{13}C_{coll}$ of −19.9 and a standard deviation [1σ] of 0.4 (Whittle et al. 2002) which is significantly enriched compared with measurements made on Neolithic ruminants (9 cattle; 2 sheep/goat) from southern England; mean $\delta^{13}C_{coll}$ of −21.4, standard deviation [1σ] of 0.5 (Richards et al. 2000).
3. One other ruminant source for the lipid residue that must be considered is deer adipose tissue. Published isotopic values of reference deer fatty acids are rare but those available suggest some degree of overlap with milk fat values (Evershed et al. 2002; cf. Figure 2). We have measured wild Scottish venison with mean values for δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{18:0}\) ∼ −30.9‰ (1σ ∼ 0.2) and δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{16:0}\) ∼ −35.5‰ (1σ ∼ 0.2), hence Δ\(^{13}\)C = −4.6‰. At Ecsegfalva, we may rule out this potential source, as deer were hardly exploited (Figure 2). At Schela Cladovei, deer were exploited to a considerable degree (13 per cent of NISP; Bartosiewicz et al. 2001) and although none of the isotope values of fatty acids recovered from these vessels plot within the range of the reference deer fat values, some geographical variation must be considered (again all the deer reference values are from animals reared in the UK). No isotope measurements have been made on deer bones from Schela Cladovei although there are two published values (δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{coll}\) ∼ −20.8‰ & −22.2‰) from Padina also situated in the Iron Gates Gorge and dating to approximately the same period. We suggest that if representative, the bone protein values of deer from the Iron Gates are too isotopically light to correspond to the fatty acids present in the pottery which instead are more likely derived from the milk of domestic ruminants (see above).

Discussion

The presence of milk fats, albeit associated with a small number of vessels, indicates that dairying was practised by some of the earliest European farming communities. From a diffusionist perspective, these findings lend support to the idea that the antiquity of dairying lies with the origins of animal domestication in south-west Asia some two millennia earlier, prior to its transmission to Europe in the seventh millennium BC rather than it being a later and entirely European innovation. However, organic analyses of Early Neolithic ceramic assemblages in south-western Asia are essential in order to determine this. It should also be noted that the identification of small-scale dairying in the Early Neolithic does not rule out the later intensification in the Copper and Bronze Ages, as originally outlined in the secondary products scenario (Sherratt 1981; Greenfield 1988). In a revision to his original paper (1997; 199-208), Sherratt actually suggests that small-scale dairying may have preceded the arrival of other innovations, which subsequently promoted an increase in the scale of dairying in the fourth and third millennia BC. However, in addition to the data reported here, the production of fired clay hubbed wheel models in the Late Neolithic of the Balkans (Dinu 1981) also challenges this hypothesis, while Fechner et al. (2001) have recently found sound soil micromorphological traces of ploughing in Early LBK sites in Belgium.

As there is no reason to suppose that dairying was a ‘specific technology’ that followed strict rules of cultural transmission and diffusion, a third hypothesis is that domestic animals were exploited for milk to different degrees throughout the Neolithic, depending on specific cultural, economic and environmental factors. For example, it is reasonable to assume that cattle were only intensively exploited for their milk by populations with greater access to pasture. The increased prominence of cattle remains at Early Neolithic sites on the Northern European plain supports this assumption (Bogucki 1984a, b; Midgley 1992;
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372). Furthermore, modern-day native cattle from this region demonstrate high allelic diversity specifically in their milk protein genes, suggesting that their ancestors were selected for increased milk yields. Interestingly, Europe’s highest frequency of lactose-tolerant human populations are also found in north central Europe suggesting that the ability to consume milk co-evolved with cattle dairying (Beja-Pereira et al. 2003).

The findings reported here raise previously expressed doubts (Sherratt 1981) as to whether Early Neolithic European farmers had the necessary genetic adaptation to be able to digest lactose in fresh milk. However, even if they did not, they would have been able to produce a wide range of low-lactose, storable products by fermenting milk, as frequently observed in present-day European societies. Indeed, the presence of milk fats and lipid pyrolysis products (i.e. mid-chain ketones) on some of the ceramics analysed suggest that the dairy products were heated, perhaps as part of their processing into lactose-free food products. The mixing of dairy products with other fatty ingredients either at the same time or during the vessel’s use-life is also suggested by the heterogeneity of the $\Delta^{13}$C values (Figure 3).

The findings also raise two other important questions: Which species were milked? What was the scale of the dairy production?

Whilst stocks of sheep and goats reared in the European Early Neolithic had been domesticated at least two millennia earlier, the question of whether European cattle were domesticated from local wild aurochs or have an earlier Asian ancestry is debated (Bökényi 1992a: 205; Uerpmann 1996). The genetic analysis of modern breeds of cattle implicates the Near East as the primary centre for cattle domestication, although the timing of this event is unclear (Loftus et al. 1999; Troy et al. 2001). At Ecsegfalva, the small numbers of gracile cattle bone present appear to derive from a long-domesticated stock. Identification of the species of animal milked is also relevant to this debate; goats and sheep could have been milked from an early time, but milking of recently domesticated, huge, native aurochs must have been a more daunting prospect. Therefore if cattle were milked at this time, they were likely to have been domesticated earlier. Furthermore, distinguishing cattle from caprine dairying is important for assessing the role of these animals in the broader economy and society. The less than 20 per cent contribution of cattle bone to the number of identifiable specimens (NISP) at four major Körös culture sites in the Great Hungarian Plain (Figure 2, top) shows that cattle were not greatly exploited.

The animal bone assemblages offer two possible interpretations:

1. Large, uniparous domesticates with long gestation are characterised in the archaeozoological record by longevity: their inconsiderate slaughter would not only represent a major loss, but also contradict secondary exploitation. It is thus possible that a few cows were kept for milk, as by transhumant shepherds (less than 10 per cent of the stock) moving around in Moldavia and Walachia between 1830 and 1846 (Bartosiewicz 1999: 49, Figure 2). Milk certainly produces far more protein per individual than would meat. Dairying, therefore, is an attractive solution when only small numbers of animals are kept. Without contextual information, however, ethnographic analogies should not be taken at face value.

2. A small number of cattle may have been kept for beef and regularly culled, i.e. their role was altogether rather small in food production. Sheep and goat would have provided both meat and milk. The milk yield of goats tends to be higher than that of sheep. The
c. 6/1 over-representation of identifiable sheep bones relative to those of goat at many sites in Hungary (Bartosiewicz 1999: 56) may thus be a sign of goats being killed less frequently as producers of milk.

Evidently, these two hypotheses can only be tested using multidisciplinary evidence, beyond comparing species frequencies in excavated materials. Research is currently underway to establish the species of absorbed milk present on the pots, through the identification of milk proteins using antibodies specific for the bovine form of \( \alpha_{s1} \)-casein (Craig & Collins 2000; Craig 2002). So far, analyses of over eighty Neolithic sherds, including all the samples reported here, have not securely identified any potsherds containing bovine milk proteins. Whilst this might suggest that caprines were the only animals milked, degradation and loss of proteins during the period of burial is equally plausible and is currently being assessed.

Identification of milk residues provides little information about the scale or intensity of dairying. It is impossible to tell how frequently a ceramic vessel was used to process dairy products: indeed the organic residue that remains may be solely derived from the last or even the first use of the vessel. Milk may also form a stable organic residue much more readily than other foodstuffs. Furthermore, interpretations of scale based only on ceramic residue evidence do not take into account the many other forms of material culture that may have been used to process dairy products. However, the fact that milk residues were found on pottery from sites over two hundred kilometres apart suggests, at least, that this practice was established over a broad geographical area.

Reconstruction of kill-off patterns from animal assemblages may provide more information concerning the scale of dairying at archaeological sites (Bogucki 1984a; Greenfield 1988; Legge 1981). Whilst this approach has its own methodological problems (Halstead 1998) and is undoubtedly insensitive to small-scale, household or occasional practices, the parameters for intensive milk production have been well defined (Payne 1973).

At Ecsegfalva and Schela Cladovei, the faunal assemblages are too small to accurately reconstruct the mortality profiles (Pike-Tay et al. 2004). However, a larger sheep assemblage has been studied from the Körös site, Endrőd 119 (Bökonyi 1992a). In this study, the age at death profile and the adult ewe to ram ratio are not consistent with kill-off patterns optimised either for dairying or for meat production, suggesting a possible mixed strategy, where dairying was practised on a small-scale. At Ecsegfalva, other indications point towards small-scale household herding rather than extensive pastoralism. The arable weed flora on land close to the site indicates that manuring was practised (Bogaard et al. forthcoming) and patterns of microwear suggestive of overgrazing have been observed on the sheep’s teeth (Mainland forthcoming). Both these suggest the enclosure of animals on restricted patches of land and their integration with other local economic practices, rather than large-scale pastoralism where large numbers of animals were moved around the landscape.

In conclusion, we suggest that dairying was practised by some of Europe’s earliest farmers. At the sites studied where dairy products were identified, this was most likely a small-scale activity practiced by individual ‘homesteads’ and constituted part of a broad-based economy, comprising grain cultivation and the exploitation of domesticated animals for both milk and meat. On the sites under discussion here, these were most intensively supplemented by hunting, fishing, fowling and gathering wild plants, especially at the early
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site of Schela Cladovei in the Iron Gates gorge (Bonsall et al. 1997; Bartosiewicz et al. 2001; Gál forthcoming). Finally, dairy products may have had special significance within the overall economy, because, like grain, they can be stored and accumulated.

Note on analytical procedure

Each sherd was first cleaned with a high-speed drill to eliminate any surface contamination. Ceramic was then drilled from the interior surface. The ceramic powder was weighed and sealed in glass vials prior to all analyses. Samples were also taken from the exterior surface to provide negative controls. Replica ‘experimental’ ceramics used to boil fresh cows’ milk and beef were also used as controls. Procedural blanks were included in all subsequent analyses. Where possible soil samples, either adhering to the sherds themselves or from the same context were analysed to assess post-depositional contamination.

Lipids were solvent extracted and analysed by GC or GCMS using established protocols (Charters et al. 1993; Dudd et al. 1999). Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were then extracted using diethyl ether and analysed by gas chromatography combustion isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS) using a Hewlett Packard 5890 gas chromatograph attached to a PDZ Europa Geo isotope ratio mass spectrometer using a 60m × 0.32mm fused-silica column coated with BPX70 stationary phase. Temperature programme = 130 °C (2 min); 130 °C − 190 °C at 4 °C min⁻¹; 190 °C (2 min). The values were corrected for derivatisation. Extracts were run at least in duplicate with analytical precision of ±0.3‰. Where available sherds were re-extracted and the data combined.
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