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Repeated Acceleration Ability (RAA): A New Concept with Reference to Top-Level Field and Assistant Soccer Referees
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INTRODUCTION

Repeated sprint ability (RSA), defined as the ability to perform short sprints (<10 s) interspersed with brief recovery periods (<1 min), has been proposed as one of the key fitness components in team sports [1]. Paradoxically, there are very few studies on the occurrence of repeated sprint sequences (RSS) during competitive matches in team sports [1]. Furthermore, Buchheit et al. [2] have recently questioned the validity of RSA as they did not observe any RSS during an international tournament of young soccer players. In another recent study, Carling et al. [3] reported that most high-intensity actions (i.e. sprints >19.8 km·h⁻¹ for a minimum of 1 s duration) during soccer official competitions in professional players were performed after recovery durations of ≥ 61 s. Thus, the current competitive match analysis data raise some concerns on RSA construct validity for team sports. This would be applied also to field and assistant soccer referees as they experience a similar intermittent match work pattern to players [4].

Recently, Osgnach et al. [5] have pointed out the importance of accelerations for the quantification of high-intensity actions, as acceleration requires large amounts of metabolic power despite being performed at low velocities. In this regard, few studies have reported repeated acceleration sequences (RAS) in...
team sports. For instance, Aughey [6] has reported that elite Australian football players nearly double the number of maximal accelerations in finals compared with regular season games, while the majority of accelerations occurred with less than 19 s of recovery. In another study [4] with top-class soccer referees, there was described a reduction in accelerations in the second half with no observable decrements in high-intensity and maximal-speed runs. From these previous reports, it would be hypothesized that the ability for performing repeated accelerations (i.e. repeated acceleration ability; RAA) in field and assistant referees would seem an important fitness component. This knowledge would be of interest for providing a more precise characterization of match activities, and therefore improving the application of high-intensity actions testing and training [3,7].

Therefore, the current study aims to quantify the occurrences and characteristics of both RSS and RAS in a group of top class field and assistant referees during an international tournament. It was hypothesized that RAA could represent a better index of high intensity actions than RSA in this population due to the wider occurrences and changes when comparing fatigue-related characteristics between the first and second half of matches.

METHODS AND SUBJECTS

Seven top-level field referees (age: 40 ± 2.2 yrs; height: 1.75 ± 0.04 m; weight: 68 ±6.8 kg) and 7 assistants (age: 36 ± 5.2 yrs; height: 1.74 ± 0.03 m; weight: 76.1 ± 6.3 kg) were monitored using a GPS device (1 Hz) equipped with a triaxial accelerometer (100 Hz) (SPI Elite, GPSports Systems, Australia) during 2007 America’s Soccer Cup as previously described [4]. Activities from every couple of officials were recorded during the same match with a total of 7 matches included in this study. Repeated high-intensity actions were defined as a minimum of 3 consecutive bouts (sprints >18 km·h⁻¹ or accelerations >1.5 m·s⁻²) interspersed with a maximum of 45 s. The total number of sprints and accelerations, and the distance covered per sequence were subsequently recorded for determination of RAS (i.e. 3 consecutive accelerations interspersed with a maximum of 45 s), and RSS (i.e. 3 consecutive sprints interspersed with a maximum of 45 s).

Statistical Analysis:

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Mean differences in the distance covered and the number of bouts between sprints and accelerations, along with between-half differences in activities were calculated. Uncertainty of the estimates is shown as 90% confidence intervals. Effect sizes were also calculated using a custom-made spreadsheet [8].

RESULTS

The distance covered and the number of bouts for sprints and accelerations are displayed in Table 1. Field and assistant referees performed substantially more accelerations than sprints. Peak velocities at the end of accelerations were 19.15±0.61 and 16.55±1.85 km·h⁻¹ for field and assistant referees, respectively.

Table 1: Total match distance covered and the number of bouts during high-intensity running and accelerations for field referees and assistant referees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Accelerations</th>
<th>Sprints</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>Effect Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>(90% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Referees</td>
<td>Distance (m)</td>
<td>1539 (115)</td>
<td>698 (288)</td>
<td>841 (632 to 1050)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number (no.)</td>
<td>73 (28)</td>
<td>38 (17)</td>
<td>35 (13 to 57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Referees</td>
<td>Distance (m)</td>
<td>716 (54)</td>
<td>90 (93)</td>
<td>626 (554 to 698)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number (no.)</td>
<td>56 (10)</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td>48 (40 to 56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard Deviation; CI: Confidence Interval
The aim of this study was to quantify the occurrences and characteristics of RSS and RAS performed by top-level soccer referees and assistants. The main finding of this study was that both field and assistant referees exhibited RAS while this was not evident for RSS. This finding is in line with recent observations suggesting that RSA may not be a target capacity in match officials as the rate of occurrence of RSS during matches when assessed with classical velocity thresholds is far below the concept underlying RSA.

One interesting point refers to RSA testing design as the work-to-rest ratio of typical RSA tests does not fit the one reported during soccer matches. In contrast, the work-to-rest ratio observed in the current study would confirm the validity of the RAA concept in referees. Therefore, RAA and not RSA could be a more specific ability in field and assistant referees as inferred from our observations. These findings also generate some concerns on the appropriateness of RSA evaluation in officials. Further longitudinal studies should be conducted for evaluating RAA in greater samples for confirming the current findings.

From our observations, it appears that referees experience some fatigue-related decrement in RAA while comparing the first and second halves. This measure is probably more sensitive than quantifying sprints or high-intensity runs to track running-related fatigue. However, assistants are less prone to experiencing reduction in RAA confirming their lower physical demand compared to field referees.

While this is a preliminary study, some potential limitations should be highlighted for better designing of further investigations. Firstly, the low number of

### Table 2: Repeated acceleration sequences (RAS) profile performed by field and assistant referees during the first and second half, and during the whole match

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Match Mean (SD)</th>
<th>First Half Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Second Half Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Mean difference Mean (90% CI)</th>
<th>Effect Size Mean (90% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field Referees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total RAS distance (m)</td>
<td>570 (470)</td>
<td>396 (241)</td>
<td>174 (242)</td>
<td>-222 (-452 to 8)</td>
<td>-0.92 (-1.77 to 0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total distance covered per RAS (m)</td>
<td>81 (37)</td>
<td>84 (37)</td>
<td>76 (38)</td>
<td>-8.0 (-43.7 to 27.7)</td>
<td>-0.21 (-1.08 to 0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean distance of accelerations (m)</td>
<td>20.2 (9.0)</td>
<td>20.2 (6.6)</td>
<td>20.3 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.1 (-5.4 to 5.6)</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.86 to 0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery time between accelerations (s)</td>
<td>20.8 (7.4)</td>
<td>21.1 (6.9)</td>
<td>20.1 (8.6)</td>
<td>-1.0 (-8.4 to 6.4)</td>
<td>-0.13 (-1.00 to 0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS (no.)</td>
<td>7.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>4.7 (1.6)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.4)</td>
<td>-2.4 (-4.3 to -0.5)</td>
<td>-1.18 (-2.04 to -0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerations per RAS (no.)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.5)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>-0.7 (-2.1 to 0.7)</td>
<td>-0.49 (-1.35 to 0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of Accelerations (s)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.8 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.2 (-1.2 to 1.6)</td>
<td>0.14 (-0.75 to 1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assistant Referees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total RAS distance (m)</td>
<td>147 (57)</td>
<td>64 (53)</td>
<td>83 (93)</td>
<td>19 (-53 to 91)</td>
<td>0.25 (-0.65 to 1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total distance covered per RAS (m)</td>
<td>47 (18)</td>
<td>41 (17)</td>
<td>53 (19)</td>
<td>12 (5 to 29)</td>
<td>0.67 (-0.28 to 1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean distance of accelerations (m)</td>
<td>13.9 (5.1)</td>
<td>12.2 (3.7)</td>
<td>15.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>3.4 (-1.2 to 8.0)</td>
<td>0.70 (-0.25 to 1.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery time between accelerations (s)</td>
<td>16.6 (7.1)</td>
<td>15.5 (8.6)</td>
<td>15.7 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.2 (-6.7 to 7.1)</td>
<td>0.03 (-0.85 to 0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS (no.)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>-0.1 (-1.2 to 1.0)</td>
<td>-0.09 (-0.96 to 0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerations per RAS (no.)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.2 (-0.38 to 0.78)</td>
<td>0.33 (-0.58 to 1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of Accelerations (s)</td>
<td>4.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>5.2 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.8 (-0.1 to 1.7)</td>
<td>0.83 (-0.14 to 1.69)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard Deviation; CI: Confidence Interval

Neither field nor assistant referees recorded any RSS. The data relating to RAS are presented in Table 2. Total distance performing RAS amounted to ~37% and ~20% of the total distance covered by accelerations during the entire match for field and assistant referees, respectively. The field referees recorded moderate reductions in the second half for total RAS distance and the number of RAS bouts, with small reductions in the total distance covered per RAS and the number of accelerations per RAS. Conversely, the assistant referees recorded a moderate increase in total distance covered per RAS, mean distance of accelerations and mean duration of accelerations during the second half, with small increases for total RAS distance and the number of accelerations per RAS.

### DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to quantify the occurrences and characteristics of RSS and RAS performed by top-level soccer referees and assistants. The main finding of this study was that both field and assistant referees exhibited RAS while this was not evident for RSS. This finding is in line with recent observations suggesting that RSA may not be a target capacity in match officials as the rate of occurrence of RSS during matches when assessed with classical velocity thresholds is far below the concept underlying RSA.
officials and matches recorded would suggest some caution for generalization of our results. Secondly, the reliability and validity of GPS units for detecting accelerations is still under debate \[10\] thus suggesting some caution with our data with further studies needed. Nevertheless, the thresholds selected for recording accelerations and velocities in the current study would be less problematic than in other studies with elite athletes.

**CONCLUSION**

Both field and assistant referees did not exhibit any RSS while RAS amounted to \(~37\%\) and \(~20\%\) of the total distance covered by accelerations during the entire match for field and assistant referees, respectively. From the current results, the revision of the RSA concept in referees and team sport athletes may be suggested. Thus, the evaluation of RAA would be more appropriate for designing better training exercises and physiological testing in soccer referees and other team sport athletes. Further studies with greater samples are needed for confirming our results in this and other populations.
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